# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

10/086,193

02/27/2002

Ryan S. Steelberg

12891-02/JWE

8212

PAPER NUMBER

7590

09/08/2004

EXAMINER

STRADLING YOCCA CARLSON & RAUTH

IP Department

660 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1600

P.O. Box 7680

Newport Beach, CA 92660-6441

RAMPURIA, SHARAD K

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/086,193	3	STEELBERG ET AL.
		Examiner		Art Unit
		Sharad Ra	ampuria	2683
	The MAILING DATE of this commun			orrespondence address
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
2)	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 over No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claims 11 & 24 are objected to because of the following informalities: "ban" should be "band". Appropriate correction is required.

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 4b, "unque" should be "unique". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2683

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 6, 9-10, 15-16, 19, 22-23, & 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulsen [US 6628939] (hereinafter Paulsen) in view of Tendler [US 6778820] (hereinafter Tendler).

1. Regarding claim 1, Paulsen disclose an electronic gaming system for enabling one or more player devices (20; fig.3) disposed at locations remote from a gaming source (70; fig.3), the

Art Unit: 2683

devices receiving communications from the gaming source (Col.1; 65-Col.2; 7), the gaming system comprising:

at least one player device disposed at a first location, the player device including electronic game play means for enabling a player to make a wager by inputting wager data, and to commence game play by causing an activation signal to be received and processed; (Col.8; 65-Col.9-11)

Paulsen fails to disclose the player device is placed in condition to receive said activation signal by the device's first location being within a bounded authorized area. However, Tendler teaches in an analogous art, that an RF sub-carrier broadcast station, the station communicating game play signals developed by the gaming source; (20; fig.1; Col.3; 31-38) wherein the player device is placed in condition to receive said activation signal by the device's first location being within a bounded authorized area. (Col.3; 66-Col.4; 5) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the player device is placed in condition to receive said activation signal by the device's first location being within a bounded authorized area in order to provide a method for assuring that a telephone wager is placed within the wagering jurisdiction.

2. Regarding claim 2, Paulsen disclose an electronic gaming system according to claim 1, wherein the player device further comprises:

an RF receiver configured to receive RF sub-carrier signals from the broadcast station; (42; fig.1; Col.3; 41-51)

a microprocessor coupled to operate in cooperation with the RF receiver; (52; fig.2; Col.4; 28-44) and

Art Unit: 2683

game software, hosted on the microprocessor, the game software developing electronic data for driving a display means for generating graphical images depicting game play. (52; fig.2; Col.4; 28-44)

3. Regarding claim 3, Paulsen disclose an electronic gaming system according to claim 2, the player device further comprising: a persistent memory store, the memory store containing data elements defining bounded authorized areas within which the player device is activated upon receipt of the activation signal. (fence; Col.9; 28-47)

Paulsen doesn't disclose inherently an integrated circuit GPS receiver. However, Tendler teaches in an analogous art, that an integrated circuit GPS receiver (14; fig.1; Col.4; 19-34)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include an integrated circuit GPS receiver in order to provide accurate location of the device.

6. Regarding claim 6, Paulsen discloses all the particulars of the claim except the bounded authorized areas define geographical locations where gaming is permitted. However, Tendler teaches in an analogous art, that an electronic gaming system according to claim 5, wherein the bounded authorized areas define geographical locations where gaming is permitted, the memory store data elements corresponding to said permitted geographical locations. (Col.4; 6-34)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the bounded authorized areas define geographical locations where gaming is permitted in order to provide a method for assuring that a telephone wager is placed within the wagering jurisdiction.

Art Unit: 2683

- 9. Regarding claim 9, Paulsen discloses all the particulars of the claim except the bounded authorized areas define geographical locations where gaming is permitted, the memory store data elements corresponding to said permitted geographical locations. However, Tendler teaches in an analogous art, that An electronic gaming system according to claim 8, wherein the bounded authorized areas define geographical locations where gaming is permitted, the memory store data elements corresponding to said permitted geographical locations. (Col.4; 6-34) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the bounded authorized areas define geographical locations where gaming is permitted, the memory store data elements corresponding to said permitted geographical locations in order to provide a method for assuring that a telephone wager is placed within the wagering jurisdiction.
- 10. Regarding claim 10, Paulsen discloses all the particulars of the claim except a player device GPS location is compared to the permitted geographical locations contained in the memory store. However, Tendler teaches in an analogous art, that An electronic gaming system according to claim 9, wherein a player device GPS location is compared to the permitted geographical locations contained in the memory store, the player device put in an active condition for game play in the event of the GPS location and a permitted location forming an included set. (Col.4; 6-34) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include a player device GPS location is compared to the permitted geographical locations contained in the memory store in order to provide a method for assuring that a telephone wager is placed within the wagering jurisdiction.

Art Unit: 2683

15. Regarding claim 15, Paulsen disclose a method for electronic gaming at locations remote from a gaming source (Col.1; 65-Col.2; 7), the method comprising: establishing a broadcast station, the station broadcasting game play data in accordance with instructions received from a gaming source; (Col.5; 45-65) providing a remote player device, the player device receiving game play data from the broadcast station, the player device executing game play software under microprocessor operational control; (Col.4; 29-44)

Paulsen fails to disclose the device is placed in condition to receive game play data if an established physical location corresponds to an authorized gaming area. However, Tendler teaches in an analogous art, that providing a location determination system, the location determination system establishing a physical location of the player device (Col.3; 66-Col.4; 5); and wherein the device is placed in condition to receive game play data if an established physical location corresponds to an authorized gaming area. (Col.4; 6-34) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the device is placed in condition to receive game play data if an established physical location corresponds to an authorized gaming area in order to provide a method for assuring that a telephone wager is placed within the wagering jurisdiction

16. Regarding claim 16, Paulsen discloses all the particulars of the claim except an integrated circuit GPS receiver. However, Tendler teaches in an analogous art, that The method according to claim 15, further comprising:

Art Unit: 2683

incorporating an integrated circuit GPS receiver in the player device (14; fig.1); and providing a persistent memory store, the memory store containing data elements defining bounded authorized areas within which the player device is placed in condition to receive game play data. (Col.4; 6-34) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include an integrated circuit GPS receiver in order to provide accurate location of the device.

- 19. Regarding claim 19, Paulsen disclose the method according to claim 18, wherein the bounded authorized areas define geographical locations where gaming is permitted, the memory store data elements corresponding to said permitted geographical locations. (fence; Col.9; 28-47)
- 22. Regarding claim 22, Paulsen discloses all the particulars of the claim except the bounded authorized areas define geographical locations where gaming is permitted, the memory store data elements corresponding to said permitted geographical locations. However, Tendler teaches in an analogous art, that the method according to claim 21, wherein the bounded authorized areas define geographical locations where gaming is permitted, the memory store data elements corresponding to said permitted geographical locations. (Col.4; 6-34) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the bounded authorized areas define geographical locations where gaming is permitted, the memory store data elements corresponding to said permitted geographical locations in order to provide a method for assuring that a telephone wager is placed within the wagering jurisdiction.

Art Unit: 2683

- 23. Regarding claim 23, Paulsen discloses all the particulars of the claim except a player device GPS location is compared to the permitted geographical locations contained in the memory store. However, Tendler teaches in an analogous art, that The method according to claim 22, wherein a player device GPS location is compared to the permitted geographical locations contained in the memory store, the player device put in an active condition for game play in the event of the GPS location and a permitted location forming an included set. (Col.4; 6-34) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include a player device GPS location is compared to the permitted geographical locations contained in the memory store in order to provide a method for assuring that a telephone wager is placed within the wagering jurisdiction.
- 25. Regarding claim 25, Paulsen discloses The method according to claim 16, further comprising:

registering the player device with a network node authority, a user inputting at least a unique device serial number and a personal identification code; (Col.7; 4-15) establishing a credit balance, the credit balance contained within the device's persistent memory

store. (Col.8; 23-40) and

Paulsen doesn't disclose inherently activating the device for use by receiving a signal over an RF sub-carrier channel. However, Tendler teaches in an analogous art, that activating the device for use by receiving a signal over an RF sub-carrier channel; (Col.4; 6-34) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include

Art Unit: 2683

activating the device for use by receiving a signal over an RF sub-carrier channel in order to provide a method for assuring that a telephone wager is placed within the wagering jurisdiction.

26. Regarding claim 26, Paulsen discloses all the particulars of the claim except placing the device in condition to operate when the determined location corresponds to an authorized gaming location. However, Tendler teaches in an analogous art, that The method according to claim 25, further comprising: determining a physical location of a player device; comparing the determined location to at least one of a multiplicity of authorized gaming locations; and placing the device in condition to operate when the determined location corresponds to an authorized gaming location. (Col.4; 6-34) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include placing the device in condition to operate when the determined location corresponds to an authorized gaming location in order to provide a method for assuring that a telephone wager is placed within the wagering jurisdiction.

Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulsen & Tendler further in view of Walker et al. [US 6527638] (hereinafter Walker).

27. Regarding claim 27, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except receiving game play data by the plurality of player devices; and processing the game play data in each device of the plurality by mathematical combination of the game play data with each device's unique serial number so as to generate uniquely random game play data for each device of the plurality. However, Walker teaches in an analogous art, that The method according to

Art Unit: 2683

claim 26, further comprising: broadcasting game play data to a plurality of player devices in simultaneous fashion; receiving game play data by the plurality of player devices; and processing the game play data in each device of the plurality by mathematical combination of the game play data with each device's unique serial number so as to generate uniquely random game play data for each device of the plurality. (Col.5; 43-50 & Col.19; 2-28) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include receiving game play data by the plurality of player devices; and processing the game play data in each device of the plurality by mathematical combination of the game play data with each device's unique serial number so as to generate uniquely random game play data for each device of the plurality in order to provide a remote gaming system by which a player can wager on any one of a plurality of games.

28. Regarding claim 28, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except recording a wager result for each device of the plurality, for each set of game play data; and calculating an increment or decrement to the credit balance stored on each device of the plurality. However, Walker teaches in an analogous art, that The method according to claim 27, further comprising: recording a wager result for each device of the plurality, for each set of game play data; and calculating an increment or decrement to the credit balance stored on each device of the plurality. (Col.5; 43-50 & Col.19; 2-28) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include recording a wager result for each device of the plurality, for each set of game play data; and calculating an increment or decrement

Art Unit: 2683

to the credit balance stored on each device of the plurality in order to provide a remote gaming system by which a player can wager on any one of a plurality of games.

- 29. Regarding claim 29, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except settling a final credit balance stored on a device. However, Walker teaches in an analogous art, that the method according to claim 28, further comprising: verifying an authorization to use a device; and settling a final credit balance stored on a device. (Col.5; 43-50 & Col.19; 2-28) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include settling a final credit balance stored on a device in order to provide a remote gaming system by which a player can wager on any one of a plurality of games.
- 30. Regarding claim 30, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except the settling step includes crediting a user account when a final credit balance is positive. However, Walker teaches in an analogous art, that the method according to claim 29, wherein the settling step includes crediting a user account when a final credit balance is positive and, wherein the settling step includes debiting a user account when a final credit balance is negative. (Col.5; 43-50 & Col.19; 2-28) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the settling step includes crediting a user account when a final credit balance is positive in order to provide a remote gaming system by which a player can wager on any one of a plurality of games.

Art Unit: 2683

Claims 4-5, 7-8, 17-18 & 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulsen & Tendler further in view of Clapper [US 20020168967] (hereinafter Clapper).

- 4. Regarding claim 4, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except a radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking system. However, Clapper teaches in an analogous art, that an electronic gaming system according to claim 2, the player device further comprising: a radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking system; and a persistent memory store, the memory store containing data elements defining bounded authorized areas within which the player device is activated upon receipt of the activation signal. (pg.2; 0022) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include a radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking system in order to provide a distinctive technology to find the mobile device.
- 5. Regarding claim 5, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except a radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking system. However, Clapper teaches in an analogous art, that an electronic gaming system according to claim 4, wherein radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking data is received by RF sub-carrier signals issued from the broadcast station, the player device forming thereby an RFTTT derived location. (pg.2; 0022) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include a radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking system in order to provide a distinctive technology to find the mobile device.

Art Unit: 2683

- 7. Regarding claim 7, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except the RFTTT. However, Clapper teaches in an analogous art, that an electronic gaming system according to claim 6, wherein a player device RFTTT location is compared to the permitted geographical locations contained in the memory store, the player device put in an active condition for game play in the event of the RFTTT location and a permitted location forming an included set. (pg.2; 0022) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the RFTTT in order to provide a distinctive technology to find the mobile device.
- 8. Regarding claim 8, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except GPS differential correction signal data is received by RF sub-carrier signals issued from the broadcast station. However, Clapper teaches in an analogous art, that an electronic gaming system according to claim 3, wherein GPS differential correction signal data is received by RF sub-carrier signals issued from the broadcast station. (pg.2; 0022) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include GPS differential correction signal data is received by RF sub-carrier signals issued from the broadcast station in order to provide a distinctive technology to find the mobile device.
- 17. Regarding claim 17, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except a radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking system. However, Clapper teaches in an analogous art, that the method according to claim 16, further comprising: incorporating a radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking system in the player device; and providing a persistent

Art Unit: 2683

memory store, the memory store containing data elements defining bounded authorized areas within which the player device is placed in condition to receive game play data. (pg.2; 0022) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include a radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking system in order to provide a distinctive technology to find the mobile device.

- 18. Regarding claim 18, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except the RFTTT. However, Clapper teaches in an analogous art, that The method according to claim 17, wherein radio frequency triangulation telemetry tracking data is received by RF sub-carrier signals issued from the broadcast station, the player device forming thereby an RFTTT derived location. (pg.2; 0022) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the RFTTT in order to provide a distinctive technology to find the mobile device.
- 20. Regarding claim 20, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except the RFTTT. However, Clapper teaches in an analogous art, that The method according to claim 19, wherein a player device RFTTT location is compared to the permitted geographical locations contained in the memory store, the player device put in an active condition for game play in the event of the RFTTT location and a permitted location forming an included set. (pg.2; 0022) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the RFTTT in order to provide a distinctive technology to find the mobile device.

Art Unit: 2683

21. Regarding claim 21, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except GPS differential correction signal data is received by RF sub-carrier signals issued from the broadcast station. However, Clapper teaches in an analogous art, that the method according to claim 16, wherein GPS differential correction signal data is received over RF sub-carrier signals issued from the broadcast station. (pg.2; 0022) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include GPS differential correction signal data is received by RF sub-carrier signals issued from the broadcast station in order to provide a distinctive technology to find the mobile device.

Claims 11, 13 & 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulsen & Tendler further in view of Kotzin et al. [US 6470180] (hereinafter Kotzin).

11. Regarding claim 11, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except the RF sub-carrier signals are broadcast on a band selected from the group consisting of an FM sub-carrier band, an AM sub-carrier band, a Television sub-carrier band, a satellite band, and a cellular band. However, Kotzin teaches in an analogous art, that An electronic gaming system according to claim 10, wherein the RF sub-carrier signals are broadcast on a band selected from the group consisting of an FM sub-carrier band, an AM sub-carrier band, a Television sub-carrier band, a satellite band, and a cellular band. (Col.3; 61-Col.4; 7) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the RF sub-carrier signals are broadcast on a band selected from the group consisting of an FM sub-carrier band, an

Art Unit: 2683

AM sub-carrier band, a Television sub-carrier band, a satellite band, and a cellular band in order to exploits a broadcast system to enhance a wireless gaming experience.

- 13. Regarding claim 13, Paulsen disclose an electronic gaming system according to claim 12, wherein the player device is configured as a stand-alone purpose-built electronic gaming device. (20; fig.1; Col.10; 38-51)
- 24. Regarding claim 24, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except the RF sub-carrier signals are broadcast on a band selected from the group consisting of an FM sub-carrier band, an AM sub-carrier band, a Television sub-carrier band, a satellite band, and a cellular band. However, Kotzin teaches in an analogous art, that The method according to claim 23, wherein the RF sub-carrier signals are broadcast on a band selected from the group consisting of an FM sub-carrier band, an AM sub-carrier band, a Television sub-carrier band, a satellite band, and a cellular band. (Col.3; 61-Col.4; 7) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the RF sub-carrier signals are broadcast on a band selected from the group consisting of an FM sub-carrier band, an AM sub-carrier band, a Television sub-carrier band, a satellite band, and a cellular band in order to exploits a broadcast system to enhance a wireless gaming experience.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulsen, Tendler & Kotzin further in view of Thiriet [US 6650892] (hereinafter Thiriet).

Art Unit: 2683

12. Regarding claim 12, the above combination discloses all the particulars of the claim except the player device is configured as a smart card. However, Thiriet teaches in an analogous art, that an electronic gaming system according to claim 11, wherein the player device is configured as a smart card. (Col.1; 55-64 & Col.2; 54-63) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the player device is configured as a smart card in order to provide the capabilities available in a SIM card for executing computer game programs.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kotzin in view of Tendler.

14. Regarding claim 14, Kotzin disclose a remote gaming device having a receiver programmed to accept radio signals broadcast at a frequency selected by a network node, the frequency residing within at least one of an FM sub-carrier band, an AM sub-carrier band, a Television sub-carrier band, a satellite band, and a cellular band, (Col.3; 61-Col.4; 7)

Kotzin fails to disclose the gaming device is activated for game play only when its physical location is within the gaming authorized region. However, Tendler teaches in an analogous art, that the gaming device further including location determination means for establishing whether the device is physically within a gaming authorized region, wherein the gaming device is activated for game play only when its physical location is within the gaming authorized region. (Col.3; 66-Col.4; 34) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the gaming device is activated for

Art Unit: 2683

game play only when its physical location is within the gaming authorized region in order to provide a method for assuring that a telephone wager is placed within the wagering jurisdiction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is 703-308-4736. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Sharad Rampuria August 27, 2004

> WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600